Case 23-01092-MBK Doc Filed 05/07/23 Entered 05/07/23 23:35:19 Desc Main Document Page 1 of 3

MAUNE RAICHLE HARTLEY FRENCH & MUDD, LLC

Clayton L. Thompson, Esq. cthompson@mrhfmlaw.com Suzanne M. Ratcliffe, Esq. sratcliffe@mrhfmlaw.com 150 West 30<sup>th</sup> Street, Suite 201 New York, NY 10001 Tel: (800) 358-5922

Counsel for Mesothelioma Plaintiff Katherine Tollefson and Certain Mesothelioma Plaintiffs

## IN THE UNITED STATES BANKRUPTCY COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF NEW JERSEY

In re:	)
LTL MANAGEMENT LLC,	) ) BK Case: 23-12825-MBK
ETE WITH VIOLENTE ELC,	) bix case. 25-12025-141bix
Debtor.	)

## MRHFM'S OBJECTION TO MEDIATION AND APPOINTMENT OF GARY RUSSO

LTL Management is a bad faith debtor. *LTL Mgmt., LLC*, 64 F.4th 84, 93 (3d Cir. 2023). A fraudulent transfer and then a second petition, filed in open defiance of the Third Circuit's ruling—and premised on an "agreement" facilitated at least in part by mediator Gary Russo¹—don't cleanse LTL of its bad faith sins. Nor does it endear Mr. Russo to MRHFM's plaintiffs, who have already been wrongly deprived of their Constitutional

1

\_

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Mr. Russo and Ms. Randi Ellis are likely fact witnesses to the fraudulent transfers committed by Johnson & Johnson and LTL given their participation in the continuing negotiations between the Debtor and certain law firms. *See* Kim Decl. ¶ 72 (Adv. Pro. Dkt. 4)(referencing the continuing involvement of the "mediators").

Filed 05/07/23 Entered 05/07/23 23:35:19 Desc Main Case 23-01092-MBK Doc

Page 2 of 3 Document

rights for over 18 months. Absent Mr. Russo's "assistance" after January 30th (when the

Circuit vindicated the sick people's "fundamental rights"), this second bad faith

bankruptcy may not have even happened. MRHFM joins the arguments against Mr.

Russo's appointment advanced by plaintiff Paul Crouch. See Dkt. 437.

MRHFM's plaintiffs demand the full measure of damages they are entitled to

under state law and jury access guaranteed them by the United States and state

constitutions. J&J will never agree to this. A necessary condition of any "plan" agreeable

to the Debtor is that non-debtor Johnson & Johnson receives a permanent injunction for

its independent non-derivative state tort liability that caps plaintiffs' remedies and bars

jury trials. Such a plan is contrary to binding precedent (In re Combustion Eng'g, Inc., 391

F.3d 190, 237-238 (3d Cir. 2004)) and the Seventh Amendment of the United States

Constitution.<sup>2</sup>

Therefore, because this Court lacks subject matter jurisdiction and because [&]

requires and will only accept what no one has power to give it, mediation is pointless.

Respectfully submitted:

MAUNE RAICHLE HARTLEY

FRENCH & MUDD, LLC

<sup>2</sup> Section 524(g) "provides no specific authority to extend a channeling injunction to include third-party actions against non-debtors where the liability alleged is not derivative of the debtor," and "the general powers of § 105(a) cannot be used to achieve a result not contemplated by the more specific provisions of

§ 524(g)." Combustion Eng'g, 391 F.3d at 236-37.

2

Clayton L. Thompson, Esq.

MAUNE RAICHLE HARTLEY
FRENCH & MUDD, LLC

150 W. 30<sup>th</sup> Street, Suite 201 New York, NY 10001 (800) 358-5922 cthompson@mrhmflaw.com